# On excited states in real-time AdS/CFT

Marcelo Botta-Cantcheff Pedro Jorge Martínez Guillermo Silva

> IFLP-CONICET UNLP

## Index

### Motivation

- o GKPW
- o SVR

### Excited States

- Inner product
- Coherence

### In-Out Formalism

- o Process
- Results
- Conclusions

• GKPW is an Euclidean prescription to calculate n-point functions of CFT local operators through calculations in the dual bulk theory.

$$\langle 0|e^{\int_{\partial H} \mathcal{O}\phi_{E}}|0\rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\Phi_{[\Phi|_{\partial_{H}}=\phi_{E}]}e^{-S_{E}[\Phi]}$$
$$\int \text{Large N}$$
$$\langle 0|e^{\int_{\partial H} \mathcal{O}\phi_{E}}|0\rangle \equiv e^{-S_{E}^{0}[\phi_{E}]}$$



- The Skenderis and van Rees prescription is a realtime extension of the GKPW prescription.
  - However, in Lorentzian signature, initial and final conditions are required in order to have a well defined problem.
  - These conditions should be related to the initial and final states, but so far no explicit interpretation in the dual CFT has been given.



- The Skenderis and van Rees prescription is a real time extension of the GKPW prescription.
  - The authors demonstrated that one can obtain time ordered npoint functions in the vacuum operator by gluing Lorentzian and Euclidean regions, with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

$$\langle 0|T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}_{L}}\mathcal{O}\phi_{L}}]|0\rangle \equiv e^{-S_{-}^{0}[0;\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}]+iS_{L}^{0}[\phi_{L};\phi_{\Sigma^{-}},\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}]-S_{+}^{0}[0;\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}]}$$



 The natural thing to do next is to turn on sources φ<sup>±</sup> on M<sub>±</sub> and see whether we reach excited states and precisely which states are we describing.

$$\langle \phi_{+} | T[e^{-i \int_{\partial_{r} \mathcal{M}_{L}} \mathcal{O} \phi_{L}}] | \phi_{-} \rangle \equiv e^{-S_{-}^{0}[\phi_{-};\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}] + i S_{L}^{0}[\phi_{L};\phi_{\Sigma^{-}},\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] - S_{+}^{0}[\phi_{+};\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}]}$$



• But before studying a concrete example, can we say something general about these states?

It turns out we can!

• In order to unravel the nature of the states  $|\phi_{\pm}\rangle$  one can consider the following set-up:



### WARNING! FORMALISM AHEAD!

• Even if SvR stated their prescription as  $\langle 0|T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_r \mathcal{M}_L} \mathcal{O} \phi_L}]|0\rangle \equiv e^{-S^0_{-}[0;\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}] + iS^0_{L}[\phi_L;\phi_{\Sigma^{-}},\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] - S^0_{+}[0;\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}]}$ one can safely assume (and they hint towards it) that it comes from a saddle point approximation of  $\langle 0 | T[e^{-i \int_{\partial_r \mathcal{M}_L} \mathcal{O}\phi_L}] | 0 \rangle \equiv \langle \Psi^0 | \mathcal{U}[T_-, T_+]_{\phi_L} | \Psi^0 \rangle$  $= \sum_{\phi_{\Sigma^+}} (\Psi_0[\phi_{\Sigma^+}])^* \mathcal{Z}[\phi_L; \phi_{\Sigma^-}, \phi_{\Sigma^+}] \Psi_0[\phi_{\Sigma^-}]$ Where the Lorentzian part is by definition  $\mathcal{Z}[\phi_L; \phi_{\Sigma^-}, \phi_{\Sigma^+}] \equiv \int_{\phi_{\Sigma^-}}^{\phi_{\Sigma^+}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\phi_L} \ e^{iS_L[\Phi]}$ and one should recall that Hartle & Hawking taught us that  $\Psi^{0}[\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}] \equiv \int_{0}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0} e^{-S_{-}[\Phi]}$ 

### WARNING! FORMALISM AHEAD!

The relevant part of this is that one can rewrite SvR as

$$\begin{split} \langle 0 | T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}_{L}}\mathcal{O}\phi_{L}}] | 0 \rangle &\equiv \sum_{\phi_{\Sigma^{\pm}}} \left( \Psi_{0}[\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] \right)^{*} \mathcal{Z}[\phi_{L};\phi_{\Sigma^{-}},\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] \Psi_{0}[\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}] \\ &= \sum_{\phi_{\Sigma^{\pm}}} \left( \int_{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}}^{0} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0} e^{-S_{+}[\Phi]} \right) \left( \int_{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\phi_{L}} e^{iS_{L}[\Phi]} \right) \left( \int_{0}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0} e^{-S_{-}[\Phi]} \right) \\ &\text{and that for a arbitrary final state} \\ \langle \Psi_{f} | T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}_{L}}\mathcal{O}\phi_{L}}] | 0 \rangle \equiv \sum_{\phi_{\Sigma^{\pm}}} \left( \Psi_{f}[\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] \right)^{*} \left( \int_{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\phi_{L}} e^{iS_{L}[\Phi]} \right) \times \\ &\times \left( \int_{0}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0} e^{-S_{-}[\Phi]} \right) \end{split}$$

which we will use in the following argument.

• In order to unravel the nature of the states  $|\phi_{\pm}\rangle$  one can consider the following set-up:



## ullet We will Wick-rotate ${\mathcal M}$ such that

 $\langle \Psi_{f} | T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}'_{L}}\mathcal{O}\phi'_{L} - i\int_{\partial_{r}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{O}\tilde{\phi}}] | 0 \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \Psi_{f} | T[e^{-i\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}'_{L}}\mathcal{O}\phi'_{L}}] \left( e^{-\int_{\partial_{r}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{O}\tilde{\phi}} | 0 \rangle \right)$ and  $\sum_{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}},\phi_{\Sigma^{\pm}}} \left( \Psi_{f}[\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}])^{*} \left( \int_{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\phi'_{L}} e^{iS_{L}[\Phi]} \right) \left( \int_{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}}^{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\tilde{\phi}} e^{i\tilde{S}[\Phi]} \right) \left( \int_{0}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{-}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0} e^{-S_{-}[\Phi]} \right)$ 

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \int_{0}^{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\{0,\tilde{\phi}\}} e^{-S_{\mathcal{M}_{-}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{M}}}[\Phi] \right) \\ \left\langle \Psi_{f} \right| \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi_{L} & \phi \\ & \phi \\ & \mathcal{M}_{L} \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \\ & \mathcal{M} \\ & T_{+} \end{array} \right) \widetilde{\Sigma} \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ & \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \\ & \mathcal{T}_{-} \end{array} \right) \\ & T_{-} \end{array} \right)$$

 The last step is to squeeze the remaining Lorentzian part  $\mathcal{M}'_L$  such that  $\langle \Psi_f | T[e^{-i \int_{\partial_r \mathcal{M}'_L} \mathcal{O} \phi'_L}] \left( e^{-\int_{\partial_r \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{O} \tilde{\phi}} | 0 \rangle \right) =$  $\sum_{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}},\phi_{\Sigma^+}} (\Psi_f[\phi_{\Sigma^+}])^* \left( \int_{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}^{\phi_{\Sigma^+}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{\phi'_L} e^{iS_L[\Phi]} \right) \left( \int_0^{\phi_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} [\mathcal{D}\Phi]_{0,\tilde{\phi}} e^{-S_{\mathcal{M}_-} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{M}}[\Phi]} \right)$ becomes  $\left\langle \Psi_{f} \right| \left( e^{-\int_{\partial_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{O} \,\tilde{\phi}} \left| 0 \right\rangle \right) = \sum_{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}} \left( \Psi_{f}[\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}] \right)^{*} \left( \int_{0}^{\phi_{\Sigma^{+}}} \left[ \mathcal{D}\Phi \right]_{0,\tilde{\phi}} e^{-S_{\mathcal{M}_{-}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \left[ \Phi \right] \right)$ but if  $\langle \Psi_f |$  is indeed arbitrary then we have shown that  $|\Psi^{\phi_{-}}\rangle \equiv e^{-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{-}} \mathcal{O}\phi_{-}}|0\rangle$ 

- Having obtained the previous result, one can (out of pure boredom) try to calculate the inner product between them...
- But hold your horses because it turns out that the GKPW prescription has already done that for us!

 $\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle = e^{-S_E^0[\phi_+,\phi_-]}$ =  $e^{-\int_{S^d} dx} \int_{S^d} dy \, \phi(x) \, G(x,y) \, \phi(y)$ where

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_{-}(x) \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{M}_{-} \\ \phi_{+}(x) \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{M}_{+} \end{cases}$$

• It is easy to see that  $\langle \Psi^{\phi_-}|\Psi^{\phi_-}\rangle \neq 1$ . Normalizing the states yields the result

$$\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_+} | \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_-} \rangle = e^{-|\phi_- - \phi_+^{\star}|^2}$$

where the always positive product (G < 0) $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int_{\infty}^0 d\tau' \phi_1(\tau) G(\tau, \tau') \phi_2^*(\tau')$ and  $\phi^*(\tau, x) \equiv \phi(-\tau, x)$  have been defined.

• Does it ring any bells...? Not yet...?

• Let's see if there's some other prescription we can compare to...

 The BDHM prescription states that the quantum CFT operator IS the canonically quantized AdS field

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(t,\Omega) \equiv \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\Delta} \hat{\Phi}(t,r,\Omega) = \sum_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger} F_{k}^{*}(t,\Omega) + \hat{a}_{k} F_{k}(t,\Omega)$$

• If both prescription are consistent, then

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_{-}}\rangle \propto e^{-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{-}}\mathcal{O}\phi_{-}}|0\rangle \propto e^{\sum_{k}\lambda_{k}^{-}a_{k}^{\dagger}}|0\rangle \\ \lambda_{k}^{-} = -\int_{\partial_{r}\mathcal{M}_{-}}d\tau d\Omega F_{k}^{*}(-i\tau,\Omega)\phi_{-}(\tau,\Omega) \end{split}$$

 Before moving on to the concrete example, lets write down some results for these states to see where we are aiming at

$$\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_+} | \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_-} \rangle = e^{-|\phi_- - \phi_+^{\star}|^2}$$

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \mathcal{O}(t,\Omega) | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} = \sum_k (\lambda_k^+)^* F_k^*(t,\Omega) + \lambda_k^- F_k(t,\Omega)$$

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \Big|_c = C_\Delta \Big( \cos((t-t')(1-i\epsilon)) - \cos(\varphi-\varphi') \Big)^{-\Delta}$$

• Further connected n-point functions are null. Feel free to ask why, since it may not be trivial.

## WARNING! SUBTLETIES AHEAD!

 Connected n-point functions in general have multiple terms, take for example n=2

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \bigg|_c \equiv \frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \mathcal{O}(t,\varphi) | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \mathcal{O}(t',\varphi') | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}$$

 One can show (won't do it now, don't insist) that for coherent states

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \bigg|_c = \frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle \langle 0 | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | 0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} = \langle 0 | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | 0 \rangle$$

 Similar cancelations are responsible for n>2 trivial results.

 Before moving on to the concrete example, lets write down some results for these states to see where we are aiming at

$$\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_+} | \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_-} \rangle = e^{-|\phi_- - \phi_+^{\star}|^2}$$

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \mathcal{O}(t,\Omega) | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} = \sum_k (\lambda_k^+)^* F_k^*(t,\Omega) + \lambda_k^- F_k(t,\Omega)$$

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \Big|_c = C_\Delta \Big( \cos((t-t')(1-i\epsilon)) - \cos(\varphi-\varphi') \Big)^{-\Delta}$$

• Further connected n-point functions are null. Feel free to ask why, since it may not be trivial.

• A massive free complex scalar field dual to a CFT local operator in the In-Out formalism is solved.



### • Steps

#### • Solve the field EOM

- × Lorentz region
- × Euclidean regions
- Solve the continuity equations
- Obtain the on shell action
- Differentiate!



## WARNING! SUBTLETIES AHEAD!

• A radial cut-off R is necessary for the problem to be well defined. For  $i = \{\pm, L\}$ , the boundary conditions are  $\Phi^{i}(m, t, n) = D^{-\Delta} + i(t, n) = D^{\Delta-2} + i(t, n)$ 

$$\Phi^{i}(r,t,\varphi)|_{r=R} = R^{-\Delta_{-}}\phi^{i}(t,\varphi) = R^{\Delta_{-2}}\phi^{i}(t,\varphi)$$

$$\Delta_{+} = \Delta = d/2 + \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} + m^2} = 1 + \sqrt{1 + m^2}$$

• Our Euclidean manifolds admit normalizable modes!



### • Steps

#### • Solve the field EOM

- × Lorentz region
- × Euclidean regions
- Gluing the solutions
- On-shell action
- Differentiate!



#### Lorentz region

• Metric and EOM  $ds^{2} = -(1+r^{2})dt^{2} + (1+r^{2})^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\varphi^{2}$   $(\Box - m^{2}) \Phi_{L} = 0 \implies \Phi_{L}(r, t, \varphi) \propto e^{-i\omega t + il\varphi} f(\omega, l, r)$   $f(\omega, l, r) = (1+r^{2})^{\sqrt{\omega^{2}/2}} r^{|l|} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2}} + |l| + \Delta}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2}} + |l| - \Delta + 2}{2}; 1 + |l|; -r^{2}\right)$ • For frequencies  $\pm \omega_{nl}^{R}$  one can build N solutions  $g_{nl}(r)|_{r=R} = 0$ • Solution

$$\Phi_L(r,t,\varphi) = \frac{R^{\Delta-2}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\omega dt' d\varphi' e^{-i\omega(t-t')+il(\varphi-\varphi')} \phi_L(t',\varphi') \frac{f(\omega,l,r)}{f(\omega,l,R)} + \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}\\l\in\mathbb{Z}}} \left( L_{nl}^+ e^{-i\omega_{nl}^R t} + L_{nl}^- e^{+i\omega_{nl}^R t} \right) e^{il\varphi} g_{nl}(r)$$

## WARNING! SUBTLETIES AHEAD!

• The NN modes integrand as an infinite number of single poles at  $\pm \omega_{nl}^R$  (this is no coincidence!)



• This forces to choose a complex integration path in the frequency integral.

#### Lorentz region

• Metric and EOM  $ds^{2} = -(1+r^{2})dt^{2} + (1+r^{2})^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\varphi^{2}$   $(\Box - m^{2}) \Phi_{L} = 0 \implies \Phi_{L}(r, t, \varphi) \propto e^{-i\omega t + il\varphi} f(\omega, l, r)$   $f(\omega, l, r) = (1+r^{2})^{\sqrt{\omega^{2}/2}} r^{|l|} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2}} + |l| + \Delta}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2}} + |l| - \Delta + 2}{2}; 1 + |l|; -r^{2}\right)$ • For frequencies  $\pm \omega_{nl}^{R}$  one can build N solutions  $g_{nl}(r)|_{r=R} = 0$ • Solution

$$\Phi_L(r,t,\varphi) = \frac{R^{\Delta-2}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\omega dt' d\varphi' e^{-i\omega(t-t')+il(\varphi-\varphi')} \phi_L(t',\varphi') \frac{f(\omega,l,r)}{f(\omega,l,R)} + \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{N}\\l\in\mathbb{Z}}} \left( L_{nl}^+ e^{-i\omega_{nl}^R t} + L_{nl}^- e^{+i\omega_{nl}^R t} \right) e^{il\varphi} g_{nl}(r)$$

### • Euclidean regions

• Metric and EOM  $ds^{2} = +(1+r^{2})d\tau^{2} + (1+r^{2})^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\varphi^{2}$  $(\Box - m^2) \Phi_{\pm} = 0 \implies \Phi_{\pm}(r, \tau, \varphi) \propto e^{i\omega\tau + il\varphi} f(-i\omega, l, r)$  $f(\omega, l, r) = (1 + r^2)^{\sqrt{\omega^2}/2} r^{|l|} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{\omega^2} + |l| + \Delta}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2} + |l| - \Delta + 2}{2}; 1 + |l|; -r^2\right)$ • For frequencies  $\pm i\omega_{nl}^R$  one can still build N solutions!  $\circ$  Solution for  $\mathcal{M}_+$  $\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ l \in \mathbb{Z}}} E_{nl}^+ e^{-\omega_{nl}^R(\tau + iT) + il\varphi} g_{nl}(r)$ 

### • Gluing the solutions

- Near  $\Sigma^+$ , (t t') > 0 since every source  $\phi^L(t')$  has been left behind.
- $\circ\,$  One can then carry out the  $\omega$  integrals in the NN modes by residue theorem

$$\frac{R^{\Delta-2}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\omega dt' d\varphi' e^{-i\omega(t-t')+il(\varphi-\varphi')} \phi_L(t',\varphi') \frac{f(\omega,l,r)}{f(\omega,l,R)} = iR^{\Delta-2} \sum_{nl} \operatorname{Res}_{nl}^R \phi_{L;nl}^* e^{-i\omega_{nl}^R t+il\varphi} g_{nl}(r)$$

 $\circ$  Thus the Lorentzian solution near $\Sigma^+$  can be written

$$\Phi_L(r,t,\varphi) \sim \sum_{nl} \left( \left( L_{nl}^+ + iR^{\Delta-2} Res_{nl}^R \phi_{L;nl}^* \right) e^{-i\omega_{nl}^R t} + L_{nl}^- e^{i\omega_{nl}^R t} \right) e^{il\varphi} g_{nl}(r)$$

### • Gluing the solutions

• Carrying out a similar process for each solution, one finds that the continuity equations are satisfied if

$$L_{nl}^{\pm} = R^{\Delta - 2} Res_{nl}^{R} \phi_{\mp;n(-l)}$$

$$E_{nl}^{+} = R^{\Delta - 2} Res_{nl}^{R} \left( i\phi_{L;nl}^{*} + \phi_{-;n(-l)} \right)$$

$$E_{nl}^{-} = R^{\Delta - 2} Res_{nl}^{R} \left( i\phi_{L;n(-l)} + \phi_{+;n(-l)} \right)$$

### On-shell action

$$S^{0} = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=\pm,L} \int_{\partial_{r} \mathcal{M}_{i}} dt_{i} \, d\varphi \left(1+r^{2}\right) \left(R^{\Delta-2} \phi_{i}\right) r \partial_{r} \Phi \right]_{r=R}$$

#### • The radial derivative applied to NN modes give

$$\left. R^{\Delta-2} \frac{r\partial_r f(\omega,l,r)}{f(\omega,l,R)} \right|_{r=R} \sim \mathbb{S}[l,R] - 2(\Delta-1)R^{-\Delta} \frac{B(\omega,l)}{A(\omega,l)} (1+o(R^{-2}))$$

while for N modes

$$L_{nl}^{\pm} r \partial_r g_{nl}(r) \Big|_{r=R} \sim -2(\Delta - 1)R^{-\Delta} B_{nl} \operatorname{Res}_{nl} \phi_{\mp;n(-l)}(1 + o(R^{-2}))$$

## WARNING! SUBTLETIES AHEAD!

- You may wonder how come both N and NN modes end up with the same R dependence!
  - There are 2 key arguments that result in such a blasphemous result:
    - × We are not considering the r dependence, but rather the R dependence! The solutions are of the form

 $\Phi_{NN} \sim A(R)f(\omega, l, r)$   $\Phi_N \sim B(R)g_{nl}(r)$ 

As a consequence, it is not immediate to determine the final R dependence in a large-R expansion.

× Both the  $r\partial_r$  operator and the denominator  $f(\omega, l, R)$  in the NN solution play a subtle but fundamental role in the process! These result in turning the "real" NN leading terms in contact terms.

### WARNING! SUBTLETIES AHEAD!

- You may wonder how come both N and NN modes end up with the same R dependence!
  - For NN modes, the  $R^{-\Delta}$  term is the leading one that has non-integer dependence in l, i.e. that is not a contact term.

• For N modes, it can be shown that

$$g_{nl}(r) \sim -B(\omega_{nl}^R, l) R^{-\Delta} \left( \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\Delta-2} - \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{-\Delta} \right)$$

and that the coefficients are independent or R

 $\lim_{R \to \infty} L_{nl}^{\pm} \propto \lim_{R \to \infty} R^{\Delta - 2} Res_{nl}^{R} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\omega = -\omega_{nl}} d\omega \frac{1}{A(\omega, l)}$ 

which allows to prove the previous results.

### On-shell action

$$S^{0} = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=\pm,L} \int_{\partial_{r} \mathcal{M}_{i}} dt_{i} \, d\varphi \left(1+r^{2}\right) \left(R^{\Delta-2} \phi_{i}\right) r \partial_{r} \Phi \right]_{r=R}$$

#### • The radial derivative applied to NN modes give

$$\left. R^{\Delta-2} \frac{r\partial_r f(\omega,l,r)}{f(\omega,l,R)} \right|_{r=R} \sim \mathbb{S}[l,R] - 2(\Delta-1)R^{-\Delta} \frac{B(\omega,l)}{A(\omega,l)} (1+o(R^{-2}))$$

while for N modes

$$L_{nl}^{\pm} r \partial_r g_{nl}(r) \Big|_{r=R} \sim -2(\Delta - 1)R^{-\Delta} B_{nl} \operatorname{Res}_{nl} \phi_{\mp;n(-l)}(1 + o(R^{-2}))$$

### • On-shell action

- The same analysis holds for each  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and putting together the three contributions one gets the full on-shell action.
- Its complete expression is not very illuminating, but noticing that each term in the action is  $\sim \phi^i r \partial_r (\phi^i + \sum_{j \neq i} \phi^j)$ :
  - × There are terms independent of  $\phi^L$  coming from the Euclidean quadratic terms.
  - × There are terms linear in  $\phi^L$  coming from the mixed  $\phi^i \phi^j$  terms.
  - $\star$  There is one term quadratic in  $\phi^L$  .
- Notice that this means that that the connected n-point functions, n>2 are all trivial in this example. (COHERENCE!)

#### Inner Product

 $\ln\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \, d\varphi \, d\tau' \, d\varphi' \, \phi(\tau,\varphi) \mathcal{P}(\tau,\tau',\varphi,\varphi') \phi(\tau',\varphi')$ 

#### where

$$\phi(\tau,\varphi) = \begin{cases} \phi_{-}(\tau,\varphi) \text{ if } \tau \in \mathcal{M}_{-} \\ \phi_{+}(\tau,\varphi) \text{ if } \tau \in \mathcal{M}_{+} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\tau,\tau',\varphi,\varphi') = -2G(\tau,\tau',\varphi,\varphi') = \frac{(\Delta-1)^2}{2^{\Delta-1}\pi} \Big(\cosh(\tau-\tau') - \cos(\varphi-\varphi')\Big)^{-\Delta}$$

• One Point function

 $\omega_{nl} = 2n + |l| + \Delta$ 

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \mathcal{O}(t,\varphi) | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} = -2(\Delta - 1) \sum_{nl} B_{nl} Res_{nl} \left( \phi_{+;nl} \ e^{i\omega_{nl}t - il\varphi} + \phi_{-;n(-l)} \ e^{-i\omega_{nl}t + il\varphi} \right)$$

which (up to a normalization constant) perfectly matches the BHDM results, behaving as if

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi_{-}}\rangle \propto e^{-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{-}}\mathcal{O}\phi_{-}}|0\rangle \propto e^{\sum_{k}\lambda_{k}^{-}a_{k}^{\dagger}}|0\rangle$$

 $\lambda_{nl}^{-} = -\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{2(\Delta-1)B_{nl}Res_{nl}} \,\phi_{-;nl} = -C_{\Delta}\int_{\partial_{\tau}\mathcal{M}_{-}} d\tau d\varphi \,F_{nl}^{*}(-i\tau,\varphi) \,\phi_{-}(\tau,\varphi)$ 

### Two Point function

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \bigg|_c = \frac{(\Delta - 1)^2}{2^{\Delta - 1}\pi} \Big( \cos((t - t')(1 - i\epsilon)) - \cos(\varphi - \varphi') \Big)^{-\Delta}$$

### which is the same as for the vacuum... Wait, WHAT!?

### • Recall this is the connected 2-point function!

$$\frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} \bigg|_{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle \langle 0 | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | 0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{\phi_+} | \Psi^{\phi_-} \rangle} = \langle 0 | T[\mathcal{O}(t,\varphi)\mathcal{O}(t',\varphi')] | 0 \rangle$$

## Conclusions

- SvR recipe is recovered from quantum analysis and "link" with EQG.
- The states generated by turning on Euclidean sources are  $-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{O} \phi$

$$\Psi^{\phi_{-}}\rangle = e^{-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{-}} \mathcal{O}\phi_{-}} |0\rangle$$

it proves the SvR conjecture on excited states.

• These states reproduce every holographic check from coherent states, with explicit eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{nl}^{\pm} = -\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{2(\Delta-1)B_{nl}Res_{nl}}\,\phi_{\pm;n(\mp l)}$$

## **Current Objectives**

### • Introduce $\lambda \Phi^3$ interactions

• We expect that a  $\Phi^3$  term lead an on-shell action with contributions as  $\Phi^2 \sim (a^{\dagger} + a)^2$  which could translate into a sort of squeezed states.

### • Prescribe our own operator

• Coherent states are an (over-)complete basis, and as such allows us to reconstruct the CFT operator from the bulk theory.

### Improvement of the Hartle-Hawking construction

• For AdS Quantum Gravity we know how to define/compute wave functional of excited states through Euclidean path integrals.